Nos. 98 to 101 by Mr. Gause Sheriff (Merchant, R. M. from Shimga Taluk.)

Nos. 102 to 106 by Mr. Hutcha Rao (R. M. from Honnali Municipality.)

Nos. 107 to 110 by Mr. Nyamti Sarvottama Rao (Land-holder, R. M. from Honnali Taluk.)

Nos. 111 to 119 by Mr. Channagiri Malhari Rao (R. M. from Shimoga Municipality.)

Nos. 120 to 123 by Mr. Ayanur Pandurangappa (Shanbhog, R. M. from Kumsi Municipality.)

Nos. 124 to 127, Public Works suggested by Members.

Subject No. 63.

Treatment of the Maganis of Tavanandi and Andagi in the Sorab Taluk, as Malnad.

Summary of discussion.—The Maganis of Tavanandi and Andagi in the Sorab Taluk which were classed as Malnad for Forest purposes up to last year, have now been classed as Maidan. They should be classed as Malnad as before.

[*Note.*—The Deputy Commissioner remarked that under Raiyats' Privilege Rules of 1891, published under Government Notification No. 281, the Maganis of Andagi, Udri and 34 villages of Tavanandi were being treated as Malnad for Forest purposes, but that in the list appended to the Revised Rules of December 1892, published in Notification No. 9837—R. F. 226-92, these have been omitted, and that a reference had been made on the subject.]

Dewan's reply.—The Deputy Commissioner supports the application. He will be directed to submit draft of the alterations necessary to give effect to it.

Subject No. 64.

Enhancement of Potgi allowance to Shanbhogs and Patels.

Summary of discussion.—At the Pakka Potgi settlement, Shanbhogs' and Patels' charges were so constituted as to give in many instances to the officiator a Remuneration of only Rs. 8 or 10; Rs. 10 or even Rs. 20 per annum would not be sufficient for the maintenance of the official. The Circles must be re-arranged so as to give Rs. 15 a month for the livelihood of the officiator.

Dewan's reply.—There are fixed rules on the subject sufficiently meeting the purpose advocated and laid down after full consideration.

Subject No. 65.

Residence of Shanbhogs within their Circles.

Summary of discussion.—Last year, representation was made to the effect that Shanbhogs had been ordered to reside in their Firkas and that the order caused much inconvenience in Malnad villages. This matter is noted in Subject No. 135 of 1892. No orders having as yet been issued, the inconvenience complained of still exists.

[*Note.*—The Deputy Commissioner remarked that this rule was not being enforced so as to cause hardship. The Representative Member adduced the two instances of Shan-